UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,003	05/20/2008	Geoffrey Harding	DE040057	8992
24737 7590 04/24/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCH WE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			SONG, HOON K	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/598,003	HARDING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOON SONG	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	<del>_</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	,,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.	<u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	, <u> </u>					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-) (-)				
·— <u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/27/06</u> . 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 7-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 7 and 8 at line 1, "it" and "its" are unclear.

In claim 9 line 12, "those" is unclear.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Annis et al. (US 4839913).

Regarding claim 1, Annis teaches a device for mapping the distribution of an XRF marker in a body volume, comprising:

an X-ray source 10 for the emission of a beam of rays, said beam comprising a first ray component with a quantum energy above the K-edge of the XRF marker and a second ray component with a quantum energy below the K-edge of the marker (column 8 lines 5-45);

a detector 60 for the detection of secondary radiation from the body volume, said detector being located outside the beam of rays of the X-ray source;

means for adjusting (filters) the intensity ratio between the first and second ray components in the beam of rays (column 8 lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 2, Annis teaches the means for adjusting the intensity ratio include a filter removably located in the beam of rays (column 8 lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 3, Annis teaches the filter contains the material of the XRF marker or is made there from (column 8 lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 5, Annis teaches in that the first ray component is represented by the K.sub..alpha.1-line and the second ray component is represented by the K.sub..alpha.2-line of an element (column 8 lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 6, Annis teaches the detector is capable of the location-resolved and/or energy-resolved measurement of the secondary radiation (column 8 lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 7, Annis teaches it comprises a further detector located in the beam of rays and capable of the location-resolved measurement of transmission radiation through the body volume (figure 5).

Regarding claim 8, Annis teaches its components are coupled to one another and together capable of pivoting about an axis of rotation (figure 5).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-10 are allowed over prior art.

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the prior art fails to teach the first ray component and/or the second ray component are/is monochromatic or quasi-monochromatic, the quantum energy of the ray

Art Unit: 2882

component deviating by less than 10%, preferably by less than 3%, from the K-edge of the XRF marker.

Regarding claims 9-10, the prior art fails to teach a method for determining the distribution of an XRF marker in a body volume by irradiation of the body volume with a beam of rays with a first ray component with a quantum energy above the K-edge and a second ray component with a quantum energy below the K-edge of the XRF marker, measurement of the resulting first secondary radiation from the body volume irradiation of the body volume with the beam of rays with a different intensity ratio between the first and second ray components, measurement of the resulting second secondary radiation from the body volume, determination of those components of the secondary radiation which are due to the fluorescence of the XRF marker by comparing the first and second secondary radiations as claimed in independent claim 9.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOON SONG whose telephone number is (571)272-2494. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 AM - 7 PM, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272 - 2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,003 Page 5

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hoon Song/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2882